

Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/085,872 02/28/2002 Thomas A. Zdeblick 4002-2954/PC393.06 1196 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/21/2004 Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Moriarty and McNett BARRETT, THOMAS C Bank One Center/Tower ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **Suite 3700** 111 Monument Circle 3738

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/085,872 | ZDEBLICK ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Thomas C. Barrett | 3738 | |
| Period fo | | | • | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M | arch 2004. | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | ✓ Claim(s) <u>52-77</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55-57,60 and 61</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) <u>52,53,65-73,76 and 77</u> is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) <u>54 and 58</u> is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) <u>59,62-64,74 and 75</u> is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachmen | | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 3-22-04. | Paper No(s)/Mail D | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/085,872

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 52-54, 58-59, and 62-71 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Pisharodi (5,123,926) in view of Beyar et al. (6,127,597). Pisharodi discloses a method
of inserting an artificial disc implant comprising upper and lower shells with a
compressible spacer in between, the implant rigidly engaging the vertebral endplates,
the method comprising reducing the height (Fig. 4), implanting the device, and
expanding the device (Fig. 2), however Pisharodi fails to disclose the method
comprising inserting the implant through a sleeve. Beyar et al. teaches a method of
percutaneous intervertebral surgery (col. 4, line 14- col. 5, line 38), circumventing the
need for exposing the vertebra with subsequent invasive surgery. It would have been
obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of a method of
percutaneous intervertebral surgery, as taught by Beyar et al., to a method of inserting
an artificial disc implant as per Pisharodi, in order to circumvent the need for

Application/Control Number: 10/085,872

Art Unit: 3738

exposing the vertebra with subsequent invasive surgery.

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pisharodi (5,123,926) in view of Beyar et al. (6,127,597), and in further view of Houfburg et al. (6,171,339 B1). Pisharodi discloses a method of inserting an artificial disc implant however Pisharodi fails to disclose the preparing the implant location through a double-barreled sleeve. Houfburg et al. teaches a method for inserting an implant into the anterior of a disc space (col. 7, line 12- col. 8, line 25) comprising: preparing the implant location (col. 8, lines 18-20) through a double-barreled sleeve (12), which facilitates the ease and accuracy of placement of multiple spinal implants (col. 4, lines 13-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of preparing a disc implant location through a double barreled sleeve, as taught by Houfburg et al., to a method for inserting an implant as per Pisharodi, in order to facilitate the ease and accuracy of placement of multiple spinal implants.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 52-53, 65-73, and 76-77 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 59, 62-64, and 74-75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,872

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,872 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Barrett

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700